



**Rilling Road Flow Management Facility (RFQ)
Solicitation Number: PS-00100-RL**

**ADDENDUM 2
October 2, 2020**

To Respondent of Record:

RESPONSES TO RFQ

- 1. Question: Are the key personnel resumes required to be a minimum of one page in length, or may we include multiple resumes on one page?**

Response: The Respondent is to provide a 1-page resume for each proposed Key Personnel. There is an eight (8) page limit for a response for this item. Multiple resumes should not be included in one page.

- 2. Question: One page 16, please confirm if the 5% SBE (Non M/WBE) is included in the total 40% M/WBE and is not two separate categories for a combined minimum total of 45% participation.**

Response: The aspirational goal is 40%, which includes local SBEs, and Minority and Woman-owned Business Enterprises (M/WBEs) who also have SBE certification. Although the aspirational goal was based on M/WBE professional services firms in the local area, we added the 5% SME component to our scoring to allow Respondent consulting firms to earn points for subconsultants who are local and small, but not M/WBE. To reiterate, the aspirational goal is 40%, and the maximum number of SMWB points is 15.

- 3. Question: A portion of the scope includes a 30% Design Validation and Supplementary Field Investigation. One component is “Obtain copies of the calibrated hydraulic model for the proposed infrastructure included in the project from SAWS Master Planning and review and independently verify preliminary hydraulic analysis conducted as part of the 30% design phase”. Is the selected consultant required to perform field measurements (i.e. flow monitoring) to verify accuracy of the hydraulic model? To what extent outside of the Rilling Road Storage Facility should the selected consultant be prepared to review?**

Response: As included in the Design Validation and Supplementary Field Investigation section of the RFQ, the consultant will “Conduct a coordination meeting with SAWS’ Master Planning and SAWS Engineering Pipelines departments to gather most up to date flow data to be used for design.” The selected Consultant will be provided with a copy of the calibrated hydraulic model relevant to this project. The approach is consistent with all other consent decree projects that went through the Basin Planning Consultants during the 30% Design Phase. The selected Consultant is not expected to conduct any flow monitoring of the collection system. The selected Consultant is not expected to review and validate a hydraulic model beyond what is relevant to proposed improvements under this project.

- 4. Question: Has SAWS measured I&I reduction during implementation of SSORP? If so, what percentage of I&I reduction has been obtained from the improvements implemented thus far?**

Response: Refer to the information on I&I provided in the BPC Final 30% Design Technical Memorandum. The assumptions made for this project related to I&I are consistent with assumptions made for all other consent decree projects that went through the Basin Planning Consultants during the 30% Design Phase.

Further discussion and coordination with other SAWS departments and teams on this subject will take place as part of the design phase of the project.

5. **Question:** RFQ Page 14, IV. Submitting a Response, B. Submission, 3. States: Number each page starting with the cover letter including text charts and graphic images. The page counts in Attachment III, Evaluation Criteria Details and Requirements, total 21 pages. The cover letter would exceed the 21 page limit. Please confirm that SAWS wants the cover letter numbered for a total of 22 pages.

Response: The cover letter does not count towards the page count, see the sentence immediately preceding the one identified in the question sent to SAWS found in Section IV.B.3. on page 14 of the RFQ.

END OF RESPONSES TO RFQ

END OF ADDENDUM 1

This Addendum is three (3) page in its entirety.