



INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Driving Indiana's Economic Growth

Scoresheet

RFP #: 2001

RFP Item #: 09

ScoreSheet: 1624

Evaluation Criteria Rated by Scorers				
Category	Scoring Criteria	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Team's Demonstrated Qualifications	Technical expertise: Unique Resources & Equipment that yield a relevant added value or efficiency to the deliverable.		15	
	Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit.	2		
	Demonstrated excellent level of expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit.	1.5		
	Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified for required services for value added benefit.	1		
	Above standard expertise and resources.	.5		
	Expertise and resources at appropriate level.	0		
	Documentation of team qualifications is weak for required services.	-1		
	Insufficient expertise and/or resources.	-2		
Project Manager	Rating or predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, type, subs, documentation skills.		20	
	Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity.	2		
	Demonstrated excellent experience in similar type and complexity.	1.5		
	Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity.	1		
	Above standard experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	.5		
	Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume'.	0		
	Experience in different type or lower experience.	-1		
	Insufficient experience.	-2		
Project Understanding and Approach	Appraisal of essential project understanding and approach in relation to service/product efficiency (cost, time) and effectiveness (quality).		15	
	Demonstrates an exceptional level of understanding of the project (intent, actions, challenges, etc.) and an outstanding project approach anticipated to produce a highly cost-effective product.	2		
	Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the project and a solid project approach.	1.5		
	Demonstrates a strong understanding of the activities required for this project and a solid project approach.	1		
	Above standard understanding of the project and a solid project approach.	.5		
	Demonstrates a satisfactory understanding of the project and an adequate project approach.	0		
	Weak demonstration of project understanding or approach.	-1		
	Did not adequately demonstrate sufficient project	-2		

understanding or approach.

Weighted Sub-Total

Evaluation Ratings Assigned from Office of Contracts Data Sources

Category	Scoring Criteria	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Budget	Budget score average overall.		8	
Constructability	Constructability score average overall. (No data available at this time.)		8	
Quality	Quality score averages for similar work.		8	
Responsiveness	Responsiveness score average overall.		8	
Schedule	Schedule score average overall.		8	
Weighted Sub-Total				

The scores assigned above represent my best judgment of the consultant's abilities for the rating categories.

Weighted Total:

Signed: _____

Date: _____