



Los Angeles
World Airports

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT GROUP

ADDENDUM NO. 3

TO THE

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

FOR

RECEIVING STATION X (RS-X) PROJECT

AT

LOS ANGELES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

The following clarifications, corrections, revisions, additions and/or deletions included in this Addendum, shall be incorporated into the subject Request for Proposals as directed herein with immediate effect.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Greg Campbell', written over a horizontal line.

Greg S. Campbell, PE
Chief Airports Engineer
Date: July 10, 2019

ADDENDUM No. 3

Proposers must acknowledge the receipt of this Addendum in its Proposal. Failure of the Proposer to acknowledge this Addendum may result in LAWA’s rejection of the Proposal for non-responsiveness.

ADDENDUM No. 3 ITEMS:

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 1:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, SUMMARY INFORMATION;

REMOVE; “BIDS DUE NO LATER THAN: Thursday, July 18, 2019 at 2:00 p.m., Pacific Time”,

And

REPLACE; with, “BIDS DUE NO LATER THAN: Thursday, July 25, 2019 at 2:00 p.m., Pacific Time”.

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 2:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, SECTION 1.0 INTRODUCTION, PARAGRAPH 1.5 PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE;

REMOVE; “LAWA anticipates carrying out the procurement process for the Project in accordance with the following schedule:

Issue RFP.....	May 15, 2019
Job Site Tour and Pre-Proposal Conference.....	May 29, 2019
Last Date to Submit Proposer Questions.....	June 28, 2019
Final CEQA and NEPA Approval	Q3, 2019
Recommendation for Award.....	Q4, 2019
Phase I NTP	Q4, 2019
Last Date to Submit GMP Proposal Package.....	Q3, 2020
Last Date to Complete GMP Negotiations.....	Q3, 2020
Phase II NTP	Q4, 2020
Phase II Construction Substantial Completion.....	May 16, 2021
Phase II RS-X turn-over to LAWA & LADWP for beneficial use.....	July 15, 2021

This procurement schedule is subject to modification at the sole discretion of LAWA. LAWA will notify Proposers of any changes to the final RFP by issuing an addendum in accordance with Section 10.

And

REPLACE; with, “LAWA anticipates carrying out the procurement process for the Project in accordance with the following schedule:

Issue RFP.....	May 16, 2019
Job Site Tour and Pre-Proposal Conference.....	June 13, 2019
Last Date to Submit Proposer Questions.....	21 Days Prior to Proposal Due Date

Final CEQA and NEPA Approval	Q3, 2019
Recommendation for Award.....	Q4, 2019
Phase I NTP	Q4, 2019
Last Date to Submit RS-X Station and Miscellaneous Scope Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP-1).....	Q2, 2020
Last Date to Complete RS-X Station and Miscellaneous Scope Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP-1)*	Q2, 2020
Phase 2A – RS-X Station and Miscellaneous Scope Construction NTP	Q3, 2020
Phase 2A – RS-X Station and Miscellaneous Scope Construction Substantial Completion.....	Q2, 2021
Phase 2A – RS-X Station and Miscellaneous Scope Turn-Over to LAWA & LADWP.....	Q3, 2021
Last Date to Submit Transmission and Distribution Pathways Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP-2).....	Q4, 2020
Last Date to Complete Transmission and Distribution Pathways Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP-2)*	Q1, 2021
Phase 2B – Transmission and Distribution Pathways Construction NTP.....	Q1, 2021
Phase 2B – Transmission and Distribution Pathways Construction Substantial Completion.....	Q4, 2021
Notice of Final Acceptance.....	Q4, 2021

*Upon completion of GMP Negotiation, GMP approval process by Board of Airport Commissioners (BOAC) requires a minimum of 45 days.

This procurement schedule is subject to modification at the sole discretion of LAWA. LAWA will notify Proposers of any changes to the final RFP by issuing an addendum in accordance with Section 10.

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 3:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, SECTION 4.2 QUALIFICATIONS, PARAGRAPH 4.2.1 LEAD CONTRACTOR, SUB-PARAGRAPH 4.2.1.1. ELECTRIC UTILITIY EXPERIENCE;

REMOVE; “Must have experience as the Prime or Joint Venture Partner on at least one (1) successfully completed electric utility high voltage substation and electric utility underground transmission and distribution projects in the last ten (10) years with a value in excess of \$ 35 Million. Must have key staff member with at least ten (10) years of major airport utility infrastructure experience”

And

REPLACE; with, “Must have experience as the Prime or Joint Venture Partner on at least one (1) successfully completed electric utility high voltage substation and electric utility underground transmission and distribution projects in the last ten (10) years with a value in excess of \$ 35 Million. Must have key staff member with at least five (5) years of major airport utility infrastructure experience”

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 4:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, SECTION 4.2 QUALIFICATIONS, PARAGRAPH 4.2.2 LEAD DESIGNER, SUB-PARAGRAPH 4.2.2.1. ELECTRIC UTILITIY EXPERIENCE;

REMOVE; “Must have experience as the Engineer of Record on at least two (2) successfully completed electric utility high voltage substation and electric utility underground transmission and distribution projects in the last ten (10) years. Projects must have been in urban environments and must have been in excess of \$25 Million in construction cost. Must have key staff member with at least ten (10) years of major airport utility infrastructure experience.”

And

REPLACE; with, “Must have experience as the Engineer of Record on at least two (2) successfully completed electric utility high voltage substation and electric utility underground transmission and distribution projects in the last ten (10) years. Projects must have been in urban environments and must have been in excess of \$25 Million in construction cost. Must have key staff member with at least five (5) years of major airport utility infrastructure experience.”

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 5:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, SECTION 8.0 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA, PARAGRAPH 8.2 STEP ONE – EVALUATION OF PROOSAL PART A AND B, SUB-PARAGRAPH 8.2.2 EVALUATION OF PROPOSAL PART B, TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS, TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS PROPOSAL SUMMARY WEIGHTED CRITERIA TABLE, B0 MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS, DESIGN BUILDER TEAM [LEAD CONTRACTOR], 1. RS-X;

REMOVE; “Must have experience as the Prime or Joint Venture Partner on at least one utility infrastructure project in excess of \$35 Million in value that was successfully completed at an urban location in the last ten (10) years.”

And

REPLACE; with, “Must have experience as the Prime or Joint Venture Partner on at least one utility infrastructure project in excess of \$35 Million in value that was successfully completed at an urban location in the last ten (10) years. Must have key staff member with at least five (5) years of major airport utility infrastructure experience.”

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 6:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, SECTION 8.0 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA, PARAGRAPH 8.2 STEP ONE – EVALUATION OF PROOSAL PART A AND B, SUB-PARAGRAPH 8.2.2 EVALUATION OF PROPOSAL PART B, TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS, TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS PROPOSAL SUMMARY WEIGHTED CRITERIA TABLE, B0 MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS, DESIGN BUILDER TEAM [LEAD DESIGNER], 3. UTILITY INFRASTRUCTRE EXPERIENCE;

REMOVE; “Provide a resume for each key person demonstrating their qualifications and experience. Resumes shall include a description of training and experience in their respective areas of expertise, including their education, professional licensing, airport experience, Design/Build experience and other work experience over a minimum of ten (10) years. Design/Build experience or DBIA certification is desirable. Resumes shall describe their current position/title and proposed position/title and shall indicate whether or not each person has previously worked with members of the proposal team on similar projects. Part B, Attachment 2 – Key Personnel Resume Checklist.”

And

REPLACE; with, "Provide a resume for each key person demonstrating their qualifications and experience. Resumes shall include a description of training and experience in their respective areas of expertise, including their education, professional licensing, airport experience, Design/Build experience and other work experience over a minimum of five (5) years. Design/Build experience or DBIA certification is desirable. Resumes shall describe their current position/title and proposed position/title and shall indicate whether or not each person has previously worked with members of the proposal team on similar projects. Part B, Attachment 2 – Key Personnel Resume Checklist."

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 7:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, SECTION 8.0 PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA, PARAGRAPH 8.2 STEP ONE – EVALUATION OF PROOSAL PART A AND B, SUB-PARAGRAPH 8.2.2 EVALUATION OF PROPOSAL PART B, TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS, TECHNICAL QUALIFICATIONS PROPOSAL SUMMARY WEIGHTED CRITERIA TABLE, B8 TEAM QUALIFICATIONS, PARAGRAPH 3.;

REMOVE; "Provide a resume for each key person demonstrating their qualifications and experience. Resumes shall include a description of training and experience in their respective areas of expertise, including their education, professional licensing, airport experience, Design/Build experience and other work experience over a minimum of ten (10) years. Design/Build experience or DBIA certification is desirable. Resumes shall describe their current position/title and proposed position/title and shall indicate whether or not each person has previously worked with members of the proposal team on similar projects. Part B, Attachment 2 – Key Personnel Resume Checklist"

And

REPLACE; with, "Provide a resume for each key person demonstrating their qualifications and experience. Resumes shall include a description of training and experience in their respective areas of expertise, including their education, professional licensing, airport experience, Design/Build experience and other work experience over a minimum of five (5) years. Design/Build experience or DBIA certification is desirable. Resumes shall describe their current position/title and proposed position/title and shall indicate whether or not each person has previously worked with members of the proposal team on similar projects. Part B, Attachment 2 – Key Personnel Resume Checklist"

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 8:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, ATTACHMENT 1: CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, EXHIBIT C SPECIAL CONDITIONS (SC), SC-1 CHANGES TO THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, MODIFY GC-46, LIQUIDATED DAMAGES;

REMOVE;

"

Receiving Station X (RS-X)		
Milestone	Component of Work	Liquidated Damages Amount

Milestone 1 XXX Calendar Days After Phase 1 NTP	Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 60% Completion of the Construction Documents and Construction Models for the Project Completion of the GMP checklist. 	\$0/Day
Milestone 2 XXX Calendar Days After Phase 2 NTP	90% and 100% Completion of the Construction Documents and Construction Models for the Project.	\$0/Day
	Failure to open Runway 24R/6R or Runway 24L/6L after a scheduled night work shift or beyond time indicated on the contract documents	\$5,000/5-min period
	Failure to open Taxiway after a scheduled night work shift or beyond time indicated on the contract documents	\$2,500/15-min period
	Unscheduled closure of Runway or Taxiway due to Contractor's Negligence, including failure to prevent FOD impacts to runways and taxiways due to construction.	\$2,500/15-min period
	Failure to maintain vehicle service road traffic and/or roadway traffic in Work Area outside of scheduled cut-over periods per the contract documents.	\$5,000/day
Milestone 3 October 19, 2020 XXX Calendar Days After Phase 2 NTP	Construction start for the following scope of work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Preparation RS-X Transmission and Distribution Pathways 	\$20,000/Day
Milestone 4 May 16, 2021 XXX Calendar Days After Phase 2 NTP	Substantial Completion in accordance with the Contract Documents which includes Substantial Completion of the following RS-X scope of work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Preparation RS-X Transmission and Distribution Pathways 	\$100,000/Day

Milestone 5 July 15, 2021 XXX Calendar Days After Phase 2 NTP	RS-X and Transmission and Distribution Pathways turned over to LAWA and LADWP for beneficial use	\$100,000/Day
---	--	---------------

”

And

REPLACE; with,

“

Receiving Station X (RS-X)		
Milestone	Component of Work	Liquidated Damages Amount
Milestone 1 225 Calendar Days After Phase 1 NTP	RS-X Station and Miscellaneous Scope Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP-1) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 60% Completion of the Construction Documents and Construction Models for the Project Completion of the GMP checklist. 	\$0/Day
Milestone 2 300 Calendar Days After Phase 1 NTP	RS-X Station and Miscellaneous Scope <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 90% and 100% completion of the construction Documents and Construction Models for the Project 	\$0/Day
Milestone 3 435 Calendar Days After Phase 1 NTP	Transmission and Distribution Pathways Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP-2) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 60% Completion of the Construction Documents and Construction Models for the Project Completion of the GMP Checklist 	\$0/Day
Milestone 4 525 Calendar Days After Phase 1 NTP	Transmission and Distribution Pathways <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 90% and 100% Completion of the Construction Documents and Construction Models for the Project 	\$0/Day
Milestone 5 300 Calendar Days After Phase 2A NTP	Substantial Completion of RS-X Station and Miscellaneous Scope in accordance with the Contract Documents which includes the following scope of work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site Preparation 	\$25,000/Day

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RS-X Station Transmission and Distribution Pathways within RS-X Station foot print 	
	<p>Failure to open Runway 24R/6L or Runway 24L/6R after a scheduled night work shift or beyond time indicated on the contract documents</p>	<p>\$5,000/5-min period</p>
	<p>Failure to open Taxiway after a scheduled night work shift or beyond time indicated on the contract documents</p>	<p>\$2,500/15-min period</p>
	<p>Unscheduled closure of Runway or Taxiway due to Contractor's Negligence, including failure to prevent FOD impacts to runways and taxiways due to construction.</p>	<p>\$2,500/15-min period</p>
	<p>Failure to maintain vehicle service road traffic and/or roadway traffic in Work Area outside of scheduled cut-over periods per the contract documents.</p>	<p>\$5,000/day</p>
<p>Milestone 6 240 Calendar Days After Phase 2B NTP</p>	<p>Substantial Completion of Transmission and Distribution Pathways in accordance with the Contract Documents which includes following scope of work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transmission and Distribution Pathways 	<p>\$25,000/Day</p>
	<p>Failure to open Runway 24R/6L or Runway 24L/6R after a scheduled night work shift or beyond time indicated on the contract documents</p>	<p>\$5,000/5-min period</p>
	<p>Failure to open Taxiway after a scheduled night work shift or beyond time indicated on the contract documents</p>	<p>\$2,500/15-min period</p>
	<p>Unscheduled closure of Runway or Taxiway due to Contractor's Negligence, including failure to prevent FOD impacts to runways and taxiways due to construction.</p>	<p>\$2,500/15-min period</p>

	Failure to maintain vehicle service road traffic and/or roadway traffic in Work Area outside of scheduled cut-over periods per the contract documents.	\$5,000/day
Milestone 7 300 Calendar Days After Phase 2B NTP	Notice of Final Acceptance	\$0/Day

”

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 9:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, ATTACHMENT 1: CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, EXHIBIT C SPECIAL CONDITIONS (SC), SC-2 TWO-PHASE DESIGN/BUILD CONTRACT;

REMOVE; “B. Phase 2 – Work to be Performed after the GMP: The issuance of the Phase 2, Notice to Proceed is constrained by the requirement to establish a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) for the Project by the Milestone 1 dates. Phase 2 will commence only upon issuance of a Phase 2 – NTP by LAWA.”

And

REPLACE; with, “B. Phase 2 – Work to be Performed after the GMP: The issuance of the Phase 2, Notice to Proceed is constrained by the requirement to establish a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) for the Project by the Milestone 1 and Milestone 3 dates. Phase 2 will commence only upon issuance of a Phase 2 – NTP by LAWA.”

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 10:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, ATTACHMENT 1: CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, EXHIBIT C SPECIAL CONDITIONS (SC), SC-4 STAFFING PLAN AND KEY PERSONNEL, SECTION C.;

REMOVE; “If for any reason a Design/Builder employee categorized as Key Personnel leaves or is no longer able to perform the duties of a Key Personnel prior to receiving LAWA written permission, LAWA may issue a deductive change order. The aggregate total for all deductive change orders, associated with revisions to the Design/Builder Key Personnel staffing plan shall not exceed \$150,000.”

And

REPLACE; with, “If for any reason a Design/Builder employee categorized as Key Personnel leaves or is no longer able to perform the duties of a Key Personnel prior to receiving LAWA written permission, LAWA may issue a deductive change order.”

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 11:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, ATTACHMENT 1: CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, EXHIBIT D PROJECT REQUIREMENTS (PR), PR-1 SCOPE OF WORK;

REMOVE; PR-1 Scope of Work in its entirety

And

REPLACE; with, PR-1 Scope of Work dated July 10, 2019 (*Changes are noted by a margin bar*)

ADDENDUM No.3, ITEM 12:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS, ATTACHMENT 2: PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS, PROPOSAL PART D: DESIGN-BUILDER'S PRICE AND FEE PROPOSAL, A – RS-X DESIGN/BUILDER DESIGN PRICE WORKSHEET;

REMOVE; "\$48,000,000"

And

REPLACE; with, "\$42,000,000"

REQUESTS FOR CLARIFICATION:

RFC 33: "For the Project Experience Forms, may we submit experience of our subcontractors or does LAWA wish to only see experience of the prime design/builder?"

RESPONSE 33: Yes, you may submit experience of your subcontractors.

RFC 34: "For the Minimum Experience Requirements Form and the Project Experience Form, may we reformat the information in our proposal to present it more visually, while providing the same required information?"

RESPONSE 34: Yes, as long as all items on the Project Experience Form are addressed and clearly identified.

RFC 35: "For the Key Personnel Resumes, may we reformat the information in our proposal to present it more visually, while providing the same required information?"

RESPONSE 35: Yes, as long as all items on the Key Personnel Resume Checklist are addressed and clearly identified.

RFC 36: "Are there page limitations for each of the key personnel resumes?"

RESPONSE 36: No, the 80 page limit of Proposer's Part B, Technical Qualifications Proposal does not include covers, resumes, disclosure of legal and administrative proceedings and financial condition, and LAWA required forms.

RFC 37: “Page 4-1, Section 4.1 Qualifications states that “proposers must possess a valid Class A and Class B California State Contractors License at the time it submits the proposal.” Where in our proposal response would LAWA like us to submit copies of our licenses?”

RESPONSE 37: Copies of valid contractors Licenses are not required in proposal submittals. However, Design/Builders and subcontractors are required to be in good standing with the California State Contractors Licensing Board at the time of the Bid and shall remain valid through Final Acceptance of the Work by LAWA.

RFC 38: “Page 4-2, Section 4.2.1.2 states that “this information must be validated in a letter by project owners.” Would LAWA like us to submit a letter from our reference verifying our design-build qualifications or is completing the Minimum Experience Requirements Form sufficient (given that it requires contact information for our references)?”

RESPONSE 38: This Information must be validated in a letter by project Owners.

RFC 39: “Page 4-1, Section 4.2.1.1 states that “Must have key staff member with at least 10 years of major airport utility infrastructure experience.” How would LAWA like us to provide information in section B0 of our proposal response given that resumes (which would address this requirement) are to be provided in section B8.”

RESPONSE 39: This information should be contained in the Minimum Experience Requirements Form and should be validated in a key staff resume that clearly shows the total years of experience.

RFC 40: “Page 8-9, Section B6.2 requires us to use “the form in Proposal Part B” to detail “all project tasks and proposed team member names to each project task and their level of qualification, experience, and responsibility for each task.” The “Resource-Loaded Staffing Plan Form” provided in Attachment 2: Proposal Part B in Addendum 2 does not allow room for “qualifications, experience, and responsibility.” Where would LAWA like us to submit this information? Is it acceptable to create an additional 11x17 table to provide this information?”

RESPONSE 40: Yes, see notes located towards the bottom of the Resource-Loaded Staffing Plan Forms which state the following:
“Add additional rows and columns as necessary.
Add additional pages to describe each Key Staff Position responsibilities. (These sheets may be 11” x 17)”.

RFC 41: “On page 37 of the RFP, under Section “B9 Inclusivity” it states that bidders are to provide “no fewer than two (2) project examples in excess of \$25 million completed as a prime contractor or Design/Builder in the last ten (10) years that contained local worker/disadvantaged worker hiring goals and business enterprise inclusivity requirements.” Will LAWA accept inclusivity project examples from both prime bidder and project partners/subcontractors?”

RESPONSE 41: Yes, LAWA will accept inclusivity project examples from both prime bidder and project partners/subcontractors.

RFC 42: “Page 8-10, Section B7, item 5 states “for all projects submitted as part of B0: Minimum Requirements and listed in the Project Experience Form, provide project name with associated client name.” Is it LAWA’s

intent to have us repeat the Minimum Experience Requirements Form from the above section in this section B7? Or can we provide a simple list showing project name/reference info in B7?"

RESPONSE 42: A simple list showing project name with the associated client name and a list of all proposed staff members who worked on each project will suffice.

RFC 43: "Page 8-11, Section B8, item 2 states that we should provide letters of commitment for key personnel. Is it sufficient for an executive of each company on our team to provide one letter committing their assigned staff to this project or do we need to submit a separate individual letter from each person on the team (which could take up many, many pages based on the size of our team)?"

RESPONSE 43: Yes, it would be sufficient for an executive of each company on your team to provide one letter committing their assigned staff to this project.

RFC 44: "Page 8-11, Section B8, item 2 letters of commitment: should the letters from subcontractors be addressed directly to LAWA or to the prime proposer? Also, should the letters be on each firm's individual letterhead since they are signing the letter?"

RESPONSE 44: Letters from subcontractors should be addressed to the prime proposer, and yes, each firm's individual letter should be on their own firm's letterhead.

RFC 45: "The template for the letter of commitment (in Attachment 2: Proposal Part B provided in Addendum 2) says to use the prime proposer's letterhead; however, for letters from subconsultants, should the letter be on their letterhead since they are committing their individual resources?"

RESPONSE 45: See response to RFC 44.

RFC 46: "Page 8-11, Section B8, item 3 instructs us to provide various information on our key personnel, such as "current position/title and proposed position/title" and "whether or not each person has previously worked with members of the proposal team on similar projects" however, that information is not requested on Part B, Attachment 2 – Key Personnel Resume Checklist. Should we modify the resumes to include this information or provide it in a separate document as part of this section."

RESPONSE 46: Yes, include the information requested in B8, Item 3, along with info requested on the Key Personnel Resume Checklist.

RFC 47: "Page 8-12, Section B9, item 2 requires us to use the project experience forms from Section B7 and provide additional information related to inclusivity. Is it LAWA's intent to have us repeat the same project experience forms from B7 in B9 or can we just reference the projects in B7 and provide the additional information requested for B9."

RESPONSE 47: It is not LAWA's intent to have you repeat the same examples used in both B7 and B9, however, if a project meets both B7 (4.) project requirements and at the same time meets the same requirements in B9 (2.), then they can both be referenced in each section separately.

RFC 50: "Please confirm that is acceptable for a wholly owned subsidiary of the proposing design builder's parent company, to complete the self perform requirements of the project."

RESPONSE 50: It is acceptable for a wholly owned subsidiary of the proposing design builder's parent company, to complete the self-perform requirements of the project, however this work will count towards the maximum allowable self-perform percentage established in the contract documents.

RFC 51: "Considering the Attachment 2 proposal forms were provided five weeks after the RFP was issued, will LAWA please extend the proposal deadline?"

RESPONSE 51: Yes. Please see Item No. 1 of this Addendum No. 3.

RFC 52: "Per the minimum requirements outlined in B0, please define LAWA's upper and lower limits of 'high voltage' as it relates to the RS-X project."

RESPONSE 52: High voltage upper limit is considered to be 230kV and high voltage lower limit is 4.8kV.

END OF ADDENDUM No. 3